

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/626,613 | 07/25/2003 | Toyota Honda | 520.37129VC2 | 9384 | |
| 20457 7: | 590 09/08/2005 | | EXAM | INER | |
| ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873 | | | DANG, I | DANG, DUY M | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2621 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/626,613 | HONDA ET AL | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Duy M. Dang | 2621 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2.137(a). 2.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2.138(a). In no event, however, ho | mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 | <i>July 2003</i> . | | | | | |
| | nis action is non-final. | · | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) 4 and 6 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | rawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Best State of the State of | a) accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se action is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No. <u>09/289,937</u> . ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | 🗖 | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/626,613

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's amendment filed 6/22/05 has been entered and made of record.
- 2. The terminal disclaimer filed on 6/22/05disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of USPN 6,493,466 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.
- 3. In view of Applicant's arguments and Terminal Disclaimer, filed 6/22/05, with respect to the double patenting rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-3 and 5, such rejection has been withdrawn.

 However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of USPN 6,608,938 to Honda et al.
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,608,938 (referred as the patent '938 hereinafter). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because broader recitation claimed in instant claims 1-3 and 5 of the instant invention and the patented claim 1 covers the equivalent subject matter as that of claims 1-3 and 5 of the instant invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,613 Page 3

Art Unit: 2621

6. Claims 4 and 6 are allowed

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duy M. Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-7389. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30AM to 2:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Mancuso can be reached on 571-272-7695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dmd 8/05

> Duy M. Dang Patent Examiner